



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/443,001	05/10/95	SATO	77732/12

FRANK PIETRANTONIO  
KENYON & KENYON  
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

E6M1/1021

EXAMINER
GHANNAM, M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2617	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/96 <sup>7</sup>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Interview Summary

Application No.

08/443,801

Applicant(s)

Sato et al.

Examiner

Mohammed R. Ghannam

Group Art Unit

2617



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mohammed R. Ghannam

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Linda Blackburn

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Oct 16, 1996Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 5

  
JEFFERY HOFSSASS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
GROUP 2600

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mrs Blackburn after receiving an office action was concern about an objection that was made on claim 5. After reviewing the objection and the amended claim the examiner realized the wrong form paragraph was use, and claim 5 should have not be objected to but allowed over the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.